

A review of the sentencing transcript indicates that defendant is correct that the court ordered his sentence to run concurrently with his other sentence. But a review of the judgment in this case reveals no mistake or omission—the judgment clearly states that “[t]his sentence is ordered to run concurrently with the sentence imposed in Wyandotte County District Court, Case No. 03CR336C.” (Doc. 251 at 2.) Because there is no clerical error or omission to correct, defendant’s motion fails.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that defendant’s Motion Pursuant to Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure 36 (Doc. 590) is denied.

Dated this 12th day of February, 2014, at Kansas City, Kansas.

s/ Carlos Murguia
CARLOS MURGUIA
United States District Judge